

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/806,188 | 03/23/2004 | Mark Dallara | LINV-231 | 2021 |
| . 75 | 7590 03/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MICHAEL M. DE ANGELI, P.C. | | | SHAFFER, RICHARD R | |
| ATTORNEY AT LAW 60 INTREPID LANE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | JAMESTOWN, RI 02835 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 02/07/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/806,188 | DALLARA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Richard R. Shaffer | 3733 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2006. | | | | | |
| , | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 11-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/2004. | | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Figures 3-5 (Claims 1-5 and 7-10) in the reply filed on February 17th, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is not a serious burden on the examiner due to similar searches. This is not found persuasive because the tool as explained prior and accepted by applicant requires additional searching and a different thought process in searching compared to the method.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Claims 6 and 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species and invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on February 17th, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Erb, et al (US Patent 6,436,119).

Erb, et al disclose a tool (**Figures 1-12**) comprising: a plurality of segments (**60**, **62**) each comprising an elongated portion (sections starting at **80** going to the right) and

Application/Control Number: 10/806,188

Art Unit: 3733

a hub portion (left of 80 until 82); a threaded knob (26 and 30 together) that receive the segments (through 38) with a radially-extending lumen and transverse material defining the lumen which constrain the segments to generally move inward and outward; a dual tapered (94 and 104) central member (24) with a cylindrical portion (102, Figure 3) connecting the two tapers and a threaded portion (90), the central member fits within a tapered (102, Figure 6B) lumen (40) formed by the inner surfaces of the segments, when the central member is moved distally by threading it forces the segments outwardly, the lumen defines two angled cylindrical surfaces: one zero degrees, the other offset at the right end and of course connected by an unlimited number of cylindrical sections between; and the device inherently springs back the segments to the longitudinal axis of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erb, et al. Erb, et al disclose all of the claimed limitations except for a garter spring disposed around the segments to urge closure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to supply a rubber band (could be loosely defined as a garter spring), clip, ring, or conventional spring to the end of the device for packaging purposes to protect the ends from being damaged during transit.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Shaffer March 3rd, 2006

Qichard Shaffer

EDUARDO # ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER